

22 June 2010

To Whom It May Concern

Dear Sirs

Re: Mr Maurice Kirk, 52 Tynewydd Road, Barry, CF62 8AZ. DOB: 12.3.45

I have been asked to anaesthetise Mr Kirk for a left total hip replacement on Tuesday 5 July 2010. Unfortunately I am not able to undertake his anaesthetic care because of the conflicting medical information that I have received. On the basis of imaging reports available to me, Mr Kirk has bilateral multiple small cerebral ischemic foci. There was no evidence of any space occupying lesion. I believe this CT scan of the head was performed in December 2009.

However I have received conflicting information from Mr Kirk who has 'heard' from the Crown Prosecution Service. This information includes words such as tumour and cancer in the brain. As I have not all the medical information available to me I am unable to make a judgement and take on Mr Kirk's anaesthetic care.

I would leave this matter with Mr Kirk to either pursue further investigations through his general practitioner or obtain written reports to resolve this conflicting situation.

Yours faithfully

BR/JR

02 August 2010

Re: Maurice Kirk  
52 Tynewydd Road, Barry, Vale of Glamorgan, CF62 8AZ

Dear Judge N Cooke

Many thanks for looking at this letter on Mr Kirk. It has come to my attention that Mr Kirk is awaiting surgery on his left hip and unfortunately the anaesthetist has declined any further possibility of anaesthetising Mr Kirk because he is concerned that he has not seen the full medical record. Mr Kirk is in considerable pain with his left hip and does require a total left hip replacement.

My understanding of the matter is that it has been sanctioned that his full medical record can be released for medical purposes. I therefore would be grateful for this to occur so Mr Kirk can undergo his full anaesthetic review and hopefully his surgery after this anaesthetic review. Many thanks for your help in this matter.

^CL291^

MIND (Inspector - legal advice/change of mind)

The detained person had earlier elected to take legal advice and has now stated a change of mind and wishes to continue the procedure/interview without that legal advice.

I have spoken to the detained person about the change of mind and authorise that the procedure/interview be continued without that legal advice being taken.

The detained person has been reminded that free and independent legal advice remains available at any time, although it has become clear that no local firm of solicitors is prepared to offer him support.

General

RESTRICTED

